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Covid-19 and corporate sector liquidity

Key takeaways

- The Covid-19 shock is placing enormous strains on corporates cash buffers. Corporate financial statements from 2019 suggest that 50% of firms do not have sufficient cash to cover total debt servicing costs over the coming year.

- Credit lines could provide firms with additional liquidity. On average undrawn credit stood around 120% of debt servicing costs at end 2019. However, access is uneven and banks may be reluctant to renew or extend them in the current environment.

- Sticky operating expenses result in many firms running operating losses, placing an additional burden on cash buffers. Estimates indicate that following a 10% drop in revenues, operating expenses only fall by 6% on average.

- Simulations suggest that if revenues fall by 25% in 2020, then closing the entire funding gap with debt would raise firm leverage by around 10 percentage points.

No other recession in modern times has hit the corporate sector on a global scale as badly as the Covid-19 shock. Firms are now facing unprecedentedly large declines in revenues as nation-wide lockdowns are imposed to safeguard public health. The ability of firms to withstand these exceptional circumstances will determine whether the Covid-19 recession leaves a lasting scar on economic activity through widespread corporate bankruptcies.

In the short-run, the Covid-19 shock challenges corporate liquidity by impairing corporate cash flows, which will likely go deeply negative for many firms as they are unable to cut their costs in line with plunging revenues. In addition, a number of factors compound this problem. First, facing restrictions on commercial activity, firms may struggle to sell existing inventories, or even borrow against them. Second, trade credit can freeze as firms seek to defer payments; depriving the corporate sector of a vital source of lubrication which keeps it ticking. Third, existing credit lines could provide firms with additional resources to help them meet short-term liquidity needs. However, credit lines often have a short-maturity and under the current stressed conditions banks may be reluctant to renew them.

Taken together, these factors are placing enormous strains on corporate cash buffers. Based on a sample of 40,000 listed and large unlisted non-financial firms across 26 advanced and emerging economies, with aggregate revenues totalling around 60% of GDP in the median economy (see Online Annex Table A1), this Bulletin estimates that if 2020 revenues fall by 25%, then in the absence of any rollover, debt service and operating expenses will exceed cash buffers and revenues in more than half of the firms sampled. Given this challenge, there is a strong case for policy interventions to avoid the negative consequences for the real economy and financial markets (Carstens (2020)).
Corporate sector liquidity

Under the current lockdown, conventional accounting measures of corporate liquidity are likely to give a misleading impression. This may apply to the current ratio which measures the liquidity buffer as the gap between a firm’s liquid assets (inventories, accounts receivable, cash and short-term investments) and its current liabilities (accrued liabilities, accounts payable and debt maturing within the coming year).

At the current juncture cash is clearly the king of liquid assets. With social distancing measures restricting commercial activity, the liquidity of inventories appears limited. Moreover, repayment of trade credit (accounts receivable) can become frozen in stressed periods as firms seek to defer payments.

Similarly, debt maturing within one year plus interest payments may be a better measure of the minimum short-term liabilities that corporates need to meet during this stressed period. Not least because defaulting on debts often triggers additional consequences such as collateral seizure and ratings downgrades that challenge corporate survival further. Payments for other current liabilities, e.g. payables, may be seen as less pressing. The flip side of frozen trade credit and delays in paying accrued expenses such as rents also reduces the drain on corporate cash buffers from these items, at least temporarily.

Therefore, in current circumstances the net liquid asset position of a firm may be better captured by the difference between its cash and its debt servicing costs falling due in one year (Graph 1). This gap

---

1 Accrued expenses are expenses for goods and services that have already been delivered but not paid yet.
2 Usually, bank debt is junior to only government taxes and staff wages and salaries, but senior relative to all other liabilities.
3 Cash is defined as cash and equivalents plus short-term investments.
4 Debt servicing costs falling due in one year defined as principal and interest payments for all debt liabilities falling due in one year.
indicates that at the end of 2019 and in all economies considered, at least 25% of firms did not hold enough cash to cover all debt obligations falling due in 2020. That said, corporate liquidity positions generally appear stronger than they were in 2008 before the Great Financial Crisis (black dots); a consequence of the rise in corporate cash holdings in many economies since the mid-2000s (Dao and Maggi (2018)).

There is however, significant heterogeneity across countries and firms. Countries with higher inflation, where the opportunity cost of holding cash is high, such as Argentina, India, Russia and Turkey have the lowest ratios, while those with histories of very low inflation such as Japan and Switzerland have some of the highest (Graph 1, left-hand panel). Among advanced economies, the quarter of Canadian and United States corporates with the lowest liquidity ratios, stand out as particularly low. This may reflect the greater prevalence of credit lines (discussed below). Turning to industries which have experienced relatively steep declines in equity prices in recent weeks, the ability of the textile and commodity sectors to service their debts from cash appears particularly limited (Graph 1, right-hand panel). For example, for 25% of firms in the oil and gas sector cash holdings would barely cover one month’s debt servicing costs.

**Additional liquidity from credit lines**

Some have argued that firms should prefer credit lines to cash holdings for liquidity (Holmstrom and Tirole (1998)). Indeed, liquidity available from existing credit lines is large. In 2019, the median firm in many economies sampled had access to undrawn credit that was in excess of total debt service falling due in one year (Graph 2, left hand panel). Credit lines could therefore make-up for the illiquidity of inventories and receivables that cannot, given current circumstances, easily be cashed in.

---

**Undrawn credit lines are large relative to debt service in 2020**

**Graph 2**

![Graph showing undrawn credit lines to debt service: by country and by sector](image-url)

1. Box plots showing median, 25th and 75th percentile of the ratio of undrawn credit as a share of debt service (short-term debt + current portion of long-term debt + interest expenses); undrawn credit excludes commercial paper programs.  
2. Data reported for at least one quarter in 2019. Based on a more limited set of firms which report undrawn credit. See Table A1 for more details on coverage.

Sources: S&P Capital IQ; BIS calculations.

---

Indeed, economies with low cash to short-term debt ratios such as the United States, Canada and Russia all have higher amounts of undrawn credit available to the median firm. A similar pattern holds across industries, where the median firm in the oil and gas and energy equipment sectors have credit lines
worth over 200% of debt falling due in one year (Graph 2, right-hand panel). Yet, a number of issues may complicate the recourse to credit lines.

First, access to credit line commitments is very uneven. Undrawn credit in the median firms is around 120% of debt falling due in one year, but for a quarter of firms it is less than 40%. Within the syndicated loan market, ten countries (all advanced economies) account for 95% of the firms with outstanding credit lines; and US firms account for 60% of the total.

Second, credit lines often have short residual maturities. For example, outstanding syndicated credit lines have a residual maturity of 2.6 years, relative to 4.7 for term loans. On average, one firm out of five with an outstanding credit line needs to renew it in 2020 (Online Annex Graph A1). Japanese corporations stand out with a significantly larger share of short-term credit lines (about 60% of total credit lines) than firms of other countries. By contrast, French firms have a sounder maturity profile. Credit lines maturing in 2020 account for about 10% of the total and those maturing by 2021 represent about 30% of the total.

Third, banks may be reluctant to renew credit line commitments. While major credit line providers are currently well capitalised, their lending capacity may come under strain if firms massively draw down existing credit lines. For instance, credit line commitments –drawn and undrawn- currently account for 23% of the total assets of the top 10 lead arranger banks, ranging from 7% all the way up to 35%. Furthermore, banks when hit by a negative shock, typically tend to cut credit lines more aggressively than corporate term loans. (Serena and Tsoukas (2020)).

Collapsing revenues create liquidity strains

The source of the corporate liquidity squeeze is the evaporation of corporate revenues in the face of lockdowns and other public health actions that restrict commercial activity. In such a “stop the clock” scenario, revenues and costs go down together, albeit not one-for-one, as firms cannot, in the short-run, cut their cost base in line with plunging revenues. Inflows of cash are therefore bound to shrink abruptly, forcing firms to burn highly valuable cash resources to cover their losses.

Declining revenues will further compound the liquidity problem

Graph 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response of operating expenses to changes in revenues</th>
<th>Ratio of total revenue to operating expenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1 Operating income defined as total revenues less operating expenses. 2 Quarterly changes in log revenues and log operating expenses. Smoothed grey lines of the elasticity estimated with a generalised additive model for 62 industries. Dashed line is 45 degree line. 3 Ratio above (below) one indicates positive (negative) operating profit. Normal times assumption: Total revenue and operating expenses at 2019 levels. “Revenues down 25%” assumption: Total revenues down 25% compared with 2019 levels; 0.6 elasticity of operating costs to revenues.

Sources: S&P Capital IQ; BIS calculations.

5 This said, Japanese firms were able to rollover credit lines in March, and Japanese banks arranged around 90% of these credit lines.
Empirical estimates of the elasticity of expenses to revenues confirm that across all the sectors, firms have historically been unable to cut operating costs at the same rate as revenues decline (Graph 3, left hand panel). Grey lines above the 45 degree line in bottom left-hand quadrant rather suggest an average cost-revenue elasticity across sectors of about 0.6. A 25% decline in revenues would then shift the average firm from a 16% operating profit to an 18% operating loss (Graph 3, right-hand panel). Cost-to-revenue elasticities in three hard hit sectors - oil and gas, airlines and hotels, restaurants and leisure - are particularly low, reflecting significant fixed costs in these high fixed asset industries.

**Corporate resilience**

Both the ability of firms to roll over debts and the size of their cash buffers will have strong influence on their ability to cover operating losses and debt service obligations. To illustrate the point, assume a cost-revenue elasticity of 0.6 and consider a scenario where 2020 revenues decline by 25% relative to 2019. This could happen in several sectors if lock downs last for 3 months or if activity remains suppressed over the year.7

If firms can roll over all their maturing debt in 2020,8 then around 40% of firms in the median country would still be unable to cover their 2020 operating expenses and interest payments with their cash buffers and revenues (Graph 4, left-hand panel). At the other extreme, if firms cannot roll-over any maturing debt, the share of firms in the median economy unable to cover their 2020 operating expenses, interest payments and debt falling due from their cash buffers and revenues would jump to 60%.

**Most firms unable to sustain a prolonged fall in revenues**

1 Simulations based on firm revenues down 25% compared to 2019 and 0.6 elasticity of operating costs to revenue. 2 Percentage of firms in simulation where (cash and short-term investments + total revenue * (1-0.25)) is less than (operating expenses*(1-0.25*0.6) + interest expenses). 3 Percentage of firms in simulation where (cash and short-term investments + total revenue * (1-0.25)) is less than (operating expenses*(1-0.25*0.6) + short-term debt + interest expenses). 4 Change in gross leverage in the median firm if raise debt to meet operating losses. Gross leverage defined as total debt/total assets.

Sources: S&P Capital IQ; BIS calculations.

Moreover, the flattening slope shows that the elasticity tends to decline with larger revenue declines. Larger falls in revenues therefore tend to reduce cash flows disproportionately and thereby impose greater liquidity strains on firms.

A 25% decline in revenues also appears consistent with the recent 8 to 9% downward revision of IMF GDP growth forecasts for advance economies, as estimates indicate that a 1 percentage point downward revision in GDP growth forecasts is correlated with in a 3% decline in firm revenues. Note that in these simulations we also assume that firms cannot discount accounts receivable to receive credit (i.e. no factoring).

During the great recession, the median listed firm could roll over 100% its short-term debt. But for 25% of the firms, the rollover fell to 70% or less.
The simulation shows that cash buffers also make a significant difference. In Japan, where many firms have large cash buffers, less than 20% of firms would be unable to cover operating losses and interest payments in the 100% debt rollover scenario. This rises to around 60% of firms in Argentina, Canada and India, all economies where many firms have relatively small cash buffers.

Of course, corporate leverage would increase if firms fill their funding gaps with borrowed funds (Graph 4, right-hand panel). The simulation suggests the corporate leverage would increase by around 10 percentage points in the median economy. In Canada and Australia, both economies with significant commodity sectors, leverage could increase by around 30 percentage points in the median firm.

Policy options

Given the short-term challenges facing corporates, there is a strong case for policy intervention (Carstens (2020)). Indeed, many governments have already taken bold action to avoid the negative consequences for the real economy and financial markets. Arguably, it could have several legs.

Most obvious, bridging loans would help ensure that the sudden stop to corporate cash-flows does not lead firms to a near term default on operating expenses, wages and salaries, or short-term obligations. However, such credit will increase corporate leverage, potentially creating solvency challenges further down the road.

Another leg are subsidies for firms in the hardest hit sectors, conditional on maintaining employment, thus, stabilising income for wage earners and avoiding unnecessary lay-offs and firm bankruptcies. Depending on how long this shutdown persists, these fiscal measures are likely to become increasingly important relative to liquidity bridging operations. Yet such subsidies, if poorly designed, risk impairing market dynamism.

Relatedly, given the size of existing credit lines, authorities may need to develop policies to monitor drawdowns and availability. Indeed, the March data on US bank balance sheets already suggests there have been significant credit line drawdowns. One risk is that banks do not rollover expiring facilities. In this regard, governments can ease the rollover of credit lines by providing guarantees or credit enhancements. This would reduce bank capital needs when corporates use them.

Last, mechanisms to prevent the seizing of trade credit would be important. For example, schemes that help firms sell their receivables or receive credit against them, at least partly, may be needed. One possibility would be for central banks to offer a facility where certain short-term claims collateralised with specific types of assets can be rediscounted. Another would be for governments or a single government related entity to take advantage of centralisation to net out trade credit assets and liabilities. To be sure, such schemes would face limitations, not least in terms of moral hazard (manipulation of trade credit accounts) and adverse selection (assets on most risky borrowers would be submitted first). But limiting such a facility to firms which did pay taxes over previous years and have hence been profitable and to assets and liabilities contracted prior to the virus outbreak could mitigate these limitations.
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