



The use of surveys: what perspectives for central banks?

The use of surveys by central banks

ISI World Statistics Congress 2015

IFC sponsored Session, IPS-091, 28 July 2015

Discussion by Bruno Tissot

Head of Statistics and Research Support, BIS

& Head of Secretariat, Irving Fisher Committee on Central Bank Statistics (IFC)

The views expressed are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the BIS or the IFC.



Highlights – 4 main areas of attention for central banks

- 1. Benefits of surveys?**
- 2. Best design?**
- 3. Uses of surveys?**
- 4. Challenges (accuracy)?**

→ 5 Presentations:

- K. Hennings & C. Maia (BCB) – *FDI measurement*
- T. Hülagü (CBRT) – *Inflation Uncertainty*
- S. Pérez-Duarte (ECB) – *Household and SME surveys*
- L. Rennison (BOC) – *Firm Strategy Survey*
- K. Shiotani (BOJ) – *Tankan (re) Design*



1. Benefits of surveys

- **Plenty of reasons why Central Banks carry surveys (ECB, BOJ)**
 - Data not existing / various topics
 - Details not available
 - Timeliness
 - Access to data (independence)
 - Response rate
 - Accuracy / accumulated experience
- **Key factors**
 - Filling data gaps
 - Not “ordinary statistics” (*new questions* ECB, BOC; *new areas* CBRT, BOC)
 - Resources issues and lower reporting burden (BOJ, BCB)



2. Best design

- **Large diversity (ECB)**

- Contrast between 2 Euro system surveys
- Several dimensions / attributes (the "*survey DNA*")

- **Trade-offs (BOJ, ECB)**

- Depends on users' needs & requirements
- *In turn* resources constraints and reporting acceptance shape the survey

- **Interesting avenues**

- *Sampling* techniques (BOJ, ECB, BCB)
- *Benchmarking* and use of available (micro) information (BOJ)
- Selected, *qualitative* inputs (BOC, ECB)



3. Uses of surveys

- **Variety of new areas (BOC)**

- Firms' strategies
- Role of organisational capital
- Investment motives
- Firms' agility

- **Flexible approach (CBRT)**

- Inflation not stable: flexible density forecasts
- How to define uncertainty? Individual uncertainty + disagreement

- **Adapted to needs / circumstances**

- FDI concentration: smaller, more frequent surveys (BCB)
- Horizon is key: business cycles vs structural changes (ECB)



4. Main challenge: accuracy

- **Refine *sampling* techniques**

- Stratification, with different variables (Tankan, ECB)
- Sub-sample bias? (CBRT, BOJ)
- Elimination of outliers (BOJ) ... or not (CBRT)
- No responses (BOJ, BCB, ECB)

- ***Benchmarking***

- With (micro) data available (BOJ)
- With lessons from the literature (BOC)

- ***Checking / validating***

- Validation techniques... for the largest respondents (BCB)
- Coherence and plausibility checks (ECB)
- Interpret the results: do they make sense? (BOC, CBRT)



Some questions...

- **K. Hennings & C. Maia (BCB) – FDI measurement**

Filtering firms... based on sufficient information (Is reporting of FDI transactions comprehensive... Can the role of intra-group affiliates affect residency-based measures...)?

- **T. Hülagü (CBRT) – Inflation Uncertainty**

Drop individual uncertainty (and simplify the survey)? Are other indicators of uncertainty consistent with the results (eg international consensus forecasts, financial market indicators)?

- **S. Pérez-Duarte (ECB) – Household and SME surveys**

Sharing data externally: has research use of the data been helpful, in turn, for improving the surveys?

- **L. Rennison (BOC) – Firm Strategy Survey**

What implications for refining national accounts (measurement of non-tangible capital) ? How to assess bias and what are the benchmarking challenges?

- **K. Shiotani (BOJ) – Tankan Design**

Is micro data a good benchmark for assessing the survey bias? How to deal with non-responses due to cost issues?

