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Overview

The paper studies international dissemination of the recent financial crisis. Examine the evolution of financial distress for financial and non-financial firms for developed and emerging economies. Empirical evidence:

- Little evidence of decoupling of emerging markets from advanced economies.
- Little evidence of systematic differences in financial performance due to differences across nations in macroeconomic or financial sector characteristics.
Overall Assessment

- The paper is well placed within the recent literature.
- It presents new and interesting results.
- It employs two methods - cross-section regressions and panel data regressions - both with qualitative same results!
- It looks at a large panel of countries - emerging x advanced.
Cross-Section Regressions

\[ FP = \alpha + \beta D + \gamma Z + \delta (D \ast Z) + \epsilon_i \]  

(1)

where, Financial performance = CDS premia or stock returns and \( Z \) = country-specific indicators.
Add a dummy variable to assess whether there are significant differences between developed and emerging economies.
Panel regression set-up

Better capture how the global crisis was disseminated across countries

\[ FP = \mu + \theta(\text{LiborOIs}) + \tau D + \phi Z + \text{others} \quad (2) \]

where LiborOIs = spread of Libor over OIS one-month interest rates Monthly changes
Questions and suggestions - 1

- Drop the first tables looking at cross-section regressions! Omitted variables bias! Difficult to conclude anything and difficult to read.
- The paper could focus on the results from the stepwise regressions.
- Why not use Factor Analysis to build indicators for these large number of fundamentals?
Questions and suggestions - 2

- It's not clear in the equations which are the global and country specific variables. The paper lacks a more precise notation.
- Example: the LiborOIS variable. That is a variable that measures funding illiquidity in the US but is not a country specific variable.
- Why not use a variable to capture global risk aversion as well?
Questions and Suggestions - 3

- Is there persistence in Financial Performance?
- If returns are mean reverting we should expect a negative coefficient on lagged FP.
- There is very little information on the panel estimation method that was used.
The country selection seem too heterogenous. Many countries are not emerging countries - some are in transition and some are just underdeveloped.

It would be worthwhile looking at differences of performance across different regions - Latin America, Eastern Europe and Emerging Asia - and compare these countries to developed economies.
Questions and Suggestions - 5

- An interesting extension would be to include a dummy for emerging countries that have implemented “sound macroeconomic policies”
- Since this is difficult to measure an alternative would be to include a dummy variable to countries which have Inflation Targeting framework in place.
- Or perhaps including a measure of “economic risk” or “political risk” for these countries.
Final Considerations

- The paper has an important contribution to the debate on the dissemination of the recent crisis.
- Anyone interested in the effects of the recent crisis should read the paper.
- A few improvements could enhance its value for the readers.
Thank You!