Settlement Liquidity in SIC

by *Thomas Nellen*, Silvio Schumacher and Flurina Strasser

Economics of Payments IX
Basle, 15/16 November 2018

SCHWEIZERISCHE NATIONALBANK
BANQUE NATIONALE SUISSE
BANCA NAZIONALE SVIZZERA
BANCA NAZIUNALA SVIZRA
SWISS NATIONAL BANK

The views expressed in this presentation are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of the Swiss National Bank

Environment – oldtimers Fedwire & SIC

- *Settlement liquidity:* ease with which participants can discharge payment obligations (Bech et al., 2012)
- Literature: **ST** or **QT** as proxies of *settlement liquidity*
- Fedwire - FIFO / no central queuing arrangement
- SIC - FIFO / central queuing (no hybrid elements = no bilateral or multilateral netting based on queues)
- Fedwire: automated overdrafts (immediate settlement)
  - ***Release Time (RT) ≡ Settlement Time (ST)***
- SIC: on-demand intraday liquidity (queuing of payments)
  - ***RT ≤ ST***
  - ***RT + Queuing Time (QT) ≡ ST***
- ***RT and QT*** *are the relevant proxies for queuing systems*

Hypotheses

- ***H1*: Increasing settlement balances induce earlier release and settlement of payments**
  - Angelini (1998, 2000), Bech & Garratt (2003), Mills & Nesmith (2008), Martin & McAndrews (2008), Martin & Jurgilas (2013), …
- ***H2*: Central queuing arrangements & ample settlement balances eliminate strategic payment mgt**
  - Martin & McAndrews (2008), Martin & Jurgilas (2013) – Armentier et al. (2008), Bech et al. (2012)
- ***H3*: Earlier release to a simple central queuing arrangement improves settlement liquidity**
  - Martin & Jurgilas (2013)

Hypotheses

- ***H4*: The integration of retail payments into RTGS payment systems improves settlement liquidity**
  - Armentier et al. (2008) for Fedwire – reuse argument
- ***H5*: Elevated default risk among RTGS participants induces participants to release later**
  - Mills & Nesmith (2008); Benos et al. (2014); literature on operational disruptions – risk mgt
- ***H6*: NIR improves settlement liquidity in RTGS systems with a central queuing arrangement**
  - Earlier release to reduce EoD uncertainty about remaining balances (repo market to change balance)

Data

- Daily & individual payment data from 2005 to April 2017
- ***Release Time (RT)***
  - Institutionalized payments (**i**): released as a direct debit by some third-party (CSD, ACH, repo, …)
  - Non-institutionalized payments (**ni**): payments subject to strategic delay – RT(ni,x) for each percentile
  - Differentiation according to category ***x***=
    - *Size*: ***t***=10'000-100’000 / ***s***=100'000-1mio / ***m***=1mio-10mio / ***l***=10mio-100mio / ***xl*=**100mio-…
    - *Purpose*: ***c***ustomer / ***b2b***
    - *Priorities*: **1** for highest priority, **2** and **3**

Data

- ***Queuing Time (QT):***
  - Focus on all payments – average QT(all)
- We rely on **settlement value (SV)-weighted indicators** for release and queuing time
- ***Many other variables and derivatives thereof:***
  - number of payments n, HHI(x), dr={CDSX, LB2UBS}, NIR dummy, RS2N, average RT(x) and QT(x), settlement value of unsecured (umm) and secured money markets (smm), share s of x in SV, ...
- ***Extracted payments:*** <CHF10'000, CLS, SNB, no Mondays & settlement days after a banking holiday

Release time

- Disentangle factors influencing RT(ni; x)
- Methodology by Armentier et al. (2008) (applied by Bech et al., 2012, and McAndrews and Kroeger, 2016)
  - Daily 100 OLS regressions (per percentile of RT(ni,x)) for the whole sample (all & subcategories)
  - Newey-West corrected standard errors

$$\triangle r_{p,t}^{ni,all} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \alpha_p + \beta_p^1 \Delta sr_t + \beta_p^2 \Delta ic_t + \beta_p^3 \Delta HHIsb_t + \beta_p^4 \Delta sv_t + \\ \beta_p^5 \Delta HHIsv_t + \beta_p^6 \Delta n_t + \beta_p^7 \Delta HHIn_t + \beta_p^8 \Delta umm_t + \\ \beta_p^9 \Delta smm_t + \beta_p^{10} \Delta \bar{r}_t^i + \beta_p^{11} \Delta \bar{q}_t^{all} + \beta_p^{12} dr_t + \\ \beta_p^{13} NIR_t + \beta_p^{14} \Delta RS2N_t + \varepsilon_{p,t} \end{array} \right.$$

Release time

- Confidence band in grey indicates the 5% significance level
- Standardized coefficients measure changes in minutes (mind the changing scale!)
- Positive coefficients indicate later and negative coefficients indicate earlier RT(ni; x)
- Results for other explanatory variables and all subcategories are found in the paper

H1



H1



H1



Release time

H2



H2



H2



Release time

H5



H6



H6



Queuing time

(average SV-weighted QT(all) in h:m; SB in billion CHF)



Queuing time

- What influences average QT(all)?
  - Mechanical relationship: QT=f(SB,SV,N,RT, frictions)
  - QT close but >0 for the full sample
- Regression approach:

$$\Delta ln\bar{q}_t = \begin{cases} \alpha + \beta_1 \Delta ln(sb_t) + \beta_2 \Delta ln(HHIsb_t) + \beta_3 \Delta ln(sv_t) + \\ \beta_4 \Delta ln(HHIsv_t) + \beta_5 \Delta ln(n_t) + \beta_6 \Delta ln(HHIn_t) + \\ \beta_7 \Delta ln(\bar{r}_t^{all}) + \beta_8 \Delta ln(summ_t) + \beta_9 \Delta ln(ssmm_t) + \\ \beta_{10} \Delta ln(sxl_t) + \beta_{11} \Delta ln(sl_t) + \beta_{12} \Delta ln(s1_t) + \varepsilon_t \end{cases}$$

- Newey-West corrected standard errors

Queuing time

		Coeff.	Std. Err.	p-value
	Δln(sb)	- 0.189	0.084	0.024
	Δln(HHIsb)	- 0.069	0.035	0.047
	Δln(sv)	0.327	0.048	0.000
	Δln(HHIsv)	- 0.122	0.075	0.106
H4	Δln(n)	- 0.100	0.044	0.022
	Δln(HHIn)	- 0.026	0.124	0.835
H3	Δln(r)	- 1.613	0.271	0.000
	Δln(summ)	0.100	0.023	0.000
	Δln(ssmm)	0.047	0.008	0.000
	Δln(sxl)	0.142	0.052	0.006
	Δln(sl)	0.856	0.289	0.003
	Δln(s1st prio)	0.071	0.030	0.018
	const.	- 0.000	0.007	0.992
	No. of obs.	1646		
	R^2	0.095		

- More SB allow to reduce SV-weighted QT(all) to “almost” zero
- However, substantial SB are required to fully eliminate QT
- A simple central queuing arrangement fosters settlement liquidity – earlier RT(all) results in lower QT(all)
- More smaller payments smooth settlement of larger payments
- Concentration factor seems to be low – fast and efficient liquidity redistribution through settlement
- Otherwise expected signs

Conclusion

- RT and QT (instead of ST/QT only) allow for a more differentiated picture of settlement liquidity
- Findings suggest theory may be incomplete in relation to RTGS systems with central queuing arrangements
- Are hybrid RTGS systems worth the investment?
  - A simple queuing arrangement can serve as an LSM
  - Retail payment integration improves settlement liquidity
    - No integration of fast payments (QT and high priority)
- Is it safe to widen access to RTGS systems?
- Lack of similar studies does not allow to generalize
- Findings suggest greater focus on RT(ni) and RT(i)

Thank you for your attention!

thomas.nellen@snb.ch

© Swiss National Bank

SCHWEIZERISCHE NATIONALBANK
BANQUE NATIONALE SUISSE
BANCA NAZIONALE SVIZZERA
BANCA NAZIUNALA SVIZRA
SWISS NATIONAL BANK

Settlement liquidity Fedwire: Settlement = Release Time

Figure 1: Time Series of Settlement Liquidity



Notes: Twenty-one-day centered moving average.
Values exclude payments related to CHIPS, CLS, DTC, and P&I payment funding.
Sources: Federal Reserve Bank of New York, Authors' calculations.

Settlement liquidity SIC: Release Time

Value percentiles of released ni payments; 20-day moving average; hours after beginning of settlement day



Settlement liquidity SIC: Settlement Time

Value percentiles of settled ni payments; 20-day ma; hours after beginning of settlement day



Release time

(average SV-weighted RT(ni; all/t/s/m/l/xl) in h:m after start of SIC day, 20-day ma)

H1

H2



Release time

(Percentage(ni; t/s/m/l/xl) in SV of all payments, 20-day ma)

H2



Release time

(average ST(all), RT(i/ni) in h after start of SIC day and QT(all), 20-day ma)



Data

SV(i/ni/all/excluded) (in billions CHF, 20-day ma)



Robustness - Release time

- Results remain qualitatively unchanged for the following robustness checks
  - ***Value-weighted RT(ni)***
    - ***CDSX*** / LB2UBS / CDS
    - ***NIR&RS2N*** / NIR / RS2N
    - Only Mondays are considered
    - SB used instead of SR and IC individually
  - ***Unweighted RT(ni,all)***

Robustness - Queuing time

- Results remain qualitatively unchanged for the following robustness checks
  - ***Value-weighted RT(ni)***
    - Only Mondays are considered – n stays negative but turns out to be insignificant
    - Replace RT(all) with RT(ni)
  - ***Unweighted RT(ni,all)***

Release time

(average RT(c/b2b) in h after start of SIC day, 20-day ma)



Release time

(average RT(1/2/3) in h after start of SIC day, 20-day ma)