



Discussion of:  
*The International Transmission of  
Credit Bubbles: Theory and Policy*

Enrique G. Mendoza  
University of Pennsylvania,  
NBER & PIER



## Opening remarks

1. Excellent paper that builds on their work on bubbles, written with similar elegance and clarity, and yields a new perspective on international coordination of fiscal/financial policies
2. Since the product is finished, my comments are literally “academic,” which makes it less relevant to focus on model details
3. But for the same reason I will take the liberty of “shooting from the hip” to raise some “big picture” issues and evaluate key modeling assumptions



## What is this paper about?

- Highlights three stylized facts of last 25 years: falling interest rates, financial integration, credit cycles
- Raises three questions:
  1. What generates low interest rates and credit cycles?
  2. What are the welfare effects?
  3. Is there a case for policy intervention?
- Extends previous work to a two-country model to study international transmission of credit bubbles
- Identifies two transmission channels: interest rate (negative spillover) and terms of trade (short-run negative, long-run positive)
- Spillovers (externalities) make cooperation desirable



## The model

- Two countries produce diff. inputs used to produce consumption and investment goods
- Fixed shares of entrepreneurs (E) and savers (S) live two periods, provide exogenous labor supply
- Financial underdevelopment: Es cannot pledge rental income to creditors => limits credit=> reduces world R
- Bubble is accepted as collateral=> improves E's borrowing capacity at "t" (increasing credit demand and R), but repayment at "t+1" crowds-out investment
- Optimal bubble maximizes long-term welfare by trading-off savings and investment
- "Sentiment" drives bubbles (size, fluctuations, and distribution across countries), and value moves with R



## The model, contn'd

- Transmission channels:
  1. *Via R*: Boom in country A rises R attracts capital from B (lower investment and growth)
  2. *Via TOT*: In short-run, demand for inputs > supply, TOT and RER rise. In long-run, supply adjusts, TOT and RER fall
- Policy analysis: Governments can tax/subsidize with intergenerational transfers (i.e. credit)
  1. Can replicate any DE with bubbles
  2. Unilaterally, governments have strategic incentives
  3. International cooperation dominates Nash



## Comments

1. Why would creditors take bubbles as collateral but not income and/or assets? (maybe a combination)
2. Why is government more credible than a borrower? (it effectively provides collateral as good as bubbles)

$$R_{t+1}^j \cdot f_{jt} \leq b_{jt+1} + s_{jt+1}$$

3. Since international coordination is not credible, it would be useful to examine outcome without commitment in a dynamic game (as a MPE)
4. Two-period OG prevents self insurance to weaken the effect of the credit constraint



## Comments contn'd

5. How can we tell if the model is empirically plausible/relevant? (can it actually account for the stylized facts the paper starts with?)
  - Better be sure before attempting internationally-coordinated set of intergenerational transfers!
6. How can we impose model discipline on “sentiment”? (common problem in “bounded rationality” setups)
7. Alternative explanations/policies based on collateralized credit with “true” assets:
  - a) Optimistic beliefs: Howitt (15), Adam, Marcet & Beutel (15), Boz & Mendoza (14), Bianchi, Boz & Mendoza (12)
  - b) Surge in demand for safe assets (globalization with financial underdevelopment, MQRR (09), Mendoza & Quadrini (10))