Discussion of The Limited Power of Monetary Policy in a Pandemic

by A. Lepetit and C. Fuentes-Albero

Mathias Trabandt Goethe University Frankfurt

XI BIS CCA Research Conference, November 18, 2021

• <u>Question</u>: How effective is monetary policy during a raging pandemic?

• <u>Answer</u>: Not much effective.

- <u>Framework</u>: Standard New Keynesian model with standard epidemiology bloc (SIR):
 - Two-way interaction between macroeconomy and epidemic.

- <u>Key result I</u>: Accommodative monetary policy less powerful during raging pandemic.
 - Intuition: consumption activities generate infections \rightarrow households reduce consumption to reduce infection risk.
 - Epidemic dynamics of first order importance for consumption.
 Real interest rate channel muted/less efficatious.
 - Monetary policy better suited to support recovery once the pandemic wanes.
 - Important to model two-way interaction of macro-epi.

- <u>Key result II</u>: Welfare analysis suggest monetary policy should keep hands off economy during raging pandemic.
 - <u>Intuition</u>: infection externality -> households don't adjust consumption enough in competitive equilibrium compared to planner solution.
 - Monetary accommodation moves output in 'wrong' direction,
 i.e. away from planner solution which takes infection externality
 into account.

• Very interesting and inspiring paper.

• Lots of great food for thought.

The Power of Monetary Policy

- Key takeaway of Lepetit and Fuentes-Albero paper:
 - Power of monetary policy limited during raging pandemic.
 - Real interest rate channel muted.

 Stark contrast to conclusions by Ascari, Colciago and Silvestrini (2021, Business Dynamism, Sectoral Reallocation and Productivity in a Pandemic, DNB).

Ascari, Colciago and Silvestrini (2021)

- New Keynesian model with two sectors and epi dynamics:
 - Infectious and non-infectious consumption sector.
 - Two-way interaction between epidemic and macroeconomy.

- <u>Key result</u>: model implies monetary policy can be very powerful even in a raging pandemic:
 - Intuition: real rate drop stimulates non-infectious consumption.
 - Sectoral reallocation and less severe recession.
 - Powerful real interest rate channel.

The Power of Monetary Policy

- One vs. two sector model setup seems crucial for conclusions about quantitative effects of monetary policy during pandemic.
 - More quantitative analysis much welcome.

 Both models also differ somewhat in terms of nominal rigidities, epi-macro setup, monetary policy, ZLB etc.
 How important are these for the different conclusions?

Optimal Policy

- Quantitative analysis on government containment measures to deal with epi infection externality not considered (so far).
 - Optimal simple containment (one instrument).
 - Optimal smart containment (multiple instruments).
 - How does optimal containment look like in the model?

Optimal Policy

- How does Ramsey optimal monetary policy during a pandemic look like with and without optimal epi containment?
 - Optimal monetary-containment policy mix.
 - Epidemic works trough supply and demand channels.
 - Depending on strength of either channel, inflation and output can move in same or opposite directions. Tradeoff for monetary policy possible.

Further Remarks

 Baseline simulation captures salient facts of the pandemic and recession. Focus on quantitative match of model vs. data would be welcome.

 Why does the central bank react to output in deviation from steady state and not to output in deviation from flexible price output?

Further Remarks

- Modeling of shocks to transmission function (pis1, pis2, pis3) opaque and unclear.
 - Time indices missing. How do these shocks look like? How important are they for the baseline? How can these shocks be measured from the data?

 Figure 6 indicates that forward guidance mostly pushes inflation up in a pandemic. That's an interesting result that deserves more spotlight.

Further Remarks

- Add infections curve to Figures 3-5 to help reader understanding state of epidemic over time.
- Plot steady state inflation and interest rates in Figure 2.
- Why condition forward guidance on two quarters?

• Very interesting and inspiring paper.

• Lots of great food for thought.