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This paper

- This is a very interesting paper
  - What are the aggregate effects from reducing firm-level factor misallocation? Does international trade play any role?
- Uses data for Colombia to empirically show a relation between factor misallocation and revealed comparative advantage
  - Impressive Micro-data
- Presents a multiple-sector, multi-country GE quantitative model
  - Uses the model to show the aggregate effects from reducing distortions
  - Macro-analysis
- Shows that trade matters for the transmission of the effects
- I only have a couple of comments
Comment #1: Data

- **Impressive micro-data**
  - A unique feature of the data is that it has information on prices.
  - Can construct plant-level deflators for firms’ inputs and outputs.
  - Can deal with output price and input price bias!

- **However**
  - The paper imposes constant technology across firms within a sector.
  - Does not allow for input-output linkages.

- **As a result, only measures an “average” factor market distortion instead of a firm specific**
  - But, you could use variation in expenditures and prices to measure factor market distortions at the firm level (see Morlacco, 2019).
Comment #2: Input market distortions

- How about input-market distortions?
  - *Internal distortions* (Affect sectors within a country)
    - Example: any kind of policy that favors one sector over another, regulations, special consideration for credit, sector-specific taxes, and so on
  - *External distortions* (Affect sectors across countries)
    - Example: trade costs, border taxes, tariffs for imports or exports, or differences in contract enforcement

- Do input market distortions matter?
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Figure: Elasticity to changes in internal distortions (top 60 markets)
Comment #2: Input market distortions

- Do input market distortions matter?
  - In Colombia?
Comment #3: Measurement of wedges

- Undistorted economy (factor payments proportional to gross output)
  \[ w_{il} Z_{il} = \alpha_{ls} R_{is} \]

- Distorted economy
  \[ w_{il} Z_{il} = \left( \left( 1 + \bar{\theta}_{ils} \right)^{-1} \left( 1 - \frac{\rho}{\kappa} \right) + \frac{\rho}{\kappa} \right) \alpha_{ls} R_{is} \]

- Note that if \( \rho = \kappa \), we cannot identify wedges and they play no role

- So, what are the values of \( \rho, \kappa \)?
  
  - Usually \( \rho = (1.2, 1.5) \) and \( \kappa = (4, 10) \)

- But what if \( \rho \) and \( \kappa \) vary across sectors?
  
  - Might attribute variation in wedges to variation in sectoral elasticities?
Final remarks on the paper

- This is a very nice paper!
- A comprehensive studies of the aggregate effects of factor market distortions
- Looking forward to learn more about the effects of removing other distortions in Colombia!