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Introduction: Project Background and Objectives

- This is the first project of a regional central bank research network on capital flows and related policy responses.
- Implemented under the auspices of the BIS Consultative Council for the Americas (central bank governors from the Americas) which provides guidance to the BIS on its work programme in region.
- In line with BIS mandate of promoting central bank cooperation.
Introduction: Project Background and Objectives

● Project goal: Understand the impact of operations in FX markets given varying motives for and approaches used in such operations.

● Joint paper by 4 central banks using intraday data and five individual central bank papers.

● Features (i) reliance on central bank expertise and access to data on foreign exchange markets and intervention; (ii) includes a joint project of central banks, coordinated by BIS, using a common methodology recommended by academic adviser (similar arrangements in ECB research networks); (iii) collaboration of research and operations departments within central banks; (iv) can address new questions regarding central bank operations in Latin American FX markets.
## Motives for intervention since early 2000s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motives</th>
<th>Accumulate Foreign Reserves</th>
<th>Provide foreign currency to markets</th>
<th>Influence exchange rate (dampen volatility, reduce misalignment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>2007-2008</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Central bank questionnaire responses or papers
Motives for intervention

- Accumulate foreign reserves (to occasionally deploy them)
  - Accumulate foreign currency claims when global financing conditions easy, decumulate when conditions tighten (Graph)

- Influence exchange rate
  - Generally do not target exchange rate
  - Dampen volatility
  - Reduce misalignment
Central bank operations in the foreign exchange market and related actions

Billions of US dollars

Source: National data; JP Morgan.

1 “Net purchases”: FX operations on balance involve the acquisition of central bank claims in foreign currency in spot or derivative markets (or engages in US dollar-linked transactions that have a similar effect). “Net sales” are the reverse.
Approaches to intervention

- Rule/formula based (Chile, Colombia, Mexico) versus discretionary (Brazil, Peru)
- Spot versus derivatives markets
  - Project focuses on spot market transactions
What this project adds

- Each of the participating countries had their own objectives for intervention and used different operational approaches, which provides rich cross-sectional variation.

- Previous studies of the efficacy of interventions in developing countries have typically focused on longer-term effects, this project specifically focuses on operations after 2008 at the intra-day and daily frequencies allowing us to analyse how traders observed, interpreted, and ultimately reacted to interventions.
What this project adds - effects of interventions

- Joint Project:
  - Intra-day impact of intervention operations on exchange rate returns and volatility;
  - Comparative intra-day effects of US macro announcements and other control variables;
  - Examination of whether different motives/types of intervention affect impact.

- Individual CB Projects:
  - Impact of intervention operations on order flow (Brazil)
  - Effects of interventions on inflation expectations (Chile)
  - Effects of secret vs. pre-announced operations (Colombia)
  - Impact of intervention operations on market liquidity (Mexico)
  - Effects of interventions in an SVAR framework (Peru)
Transparency of Intervention Operations

- Brazil: discretionary operations, reported on BCB’s website one week after the operation since Sept 2008
- Chile: pre-announced daily dollar purchases of USD 50m (discretionary intra-day timing)
- Colombia: 3-minute Dutch auctions of dollars, sales of USD 20m per day, discretionary timing: auctions announced 2 minutes in advance, unsold dollars are carried forward to the next day
- Mexico: auctions of dollars with a minimum price (type 3), 3 times daily at pre-announced times and daily amounts, bids made public at the end of each auction (auctions last 5min)
- Peru: discretionary operations, announced at start of intervention trades, amounts published daily at market close.
Exchange Rate Data

- Brazil: daily order flow data (disaggregated financial and non-financial customers) from SISBACEN 2002-20011
- Chile: intra-day spot interbank trades, prices and volume
- Colombia: intra-day wholesale spot interbank trades from SET-FX, prices and volume
- Mexico: Reuters intra-day peso/dollar bids, asks and transactions
- Peru: spot transaction trades from private electronic trading platform operated by DATATEC
FX Operations: Instruments
Brazil
FX Operations: Instruments
Chile
FX Operations: Instruments
Colombia
FX Operations: Instruments
Mexico

- USD sales mechanism
- Discretionary auction
- Auctions w/o min price
- Auctions w/ min price
- Fed swap
- Direct sales
- Option auctions
- Pemex and Government

Years: 2008 to 2012
Y-axis: USD sales mechanism value
Graph showing changes in USD sales mechanism from 2008 to 2012.
FX Operations: Instruments
Peru

Graph showing FX operations instruments for Peru from 2008 to 2012.
Microstructure Effects of Interventions: Portfolio Balance Channel

- Interventions need not convey information
- Change in relative supply of domestic and foreign assets held by the public leads to a change in the risk premium
  - example: CB purchases of foreign assets for domestic assets leads to a higher risk premium on domestic assets and a depreciation, or dampening of home appreciation.
- Empirical Implications:
  - level effects: depend on the size of the risk premium (relative risk characteristics of domestic vs. foreign assets) and the magnitude of the operation
  - volatility effects: short-run increase until dealer inventories have fully absorbed the resulting liquidity shock
Microstructure Effects of Interventions: Signaling Channel

- Operative if intervention operations are observed and traders believe that they convey price-relevant information, or information that allows them to distinguish more accurately between “fundamental” and “non-fundamental” news.

- Empirical Implications:
  - Level effects: depend on the “news” content
  - Short-run volatility effects: none unless information content of intervention operations is not well understood, in which case operations may initially lead to an increase in volatility;
  - Longer-run volatility effects: if interventions serve to resolve market uncertainty, we should observe a decline in post-intervention volatility relative to pre-intervention conditions.
Previous Studies of intra-day effects of Interventions

- In contrast to studies of longer-term effects of (sterilized) central bank interventions, studies examining intra-daily effects of interventions generally find strong evidence of impact effects, especially on volatility.
  - Dominguez (2003, 2006): G3 operations
  - Cai et al. (2001) and Chang and Taylor (1998): BOJ operations
  - Fischer and Zurlinden (1999), Fischer (2003), and Payne and Vitale (2003): SNB operations

- Few studies exist of intra-day effects of intervention in developing countries
  - Melvin, Menkhoff and Schmeling (2010): Russian operations over 5 days in 2002
Effects of Intervention: Brazil

- Intervention objectives: reduce exchange rate volatility, accumulation of reserves, increase market liquidity
- Instrument Used: daily BCB purchases and sales of USD (which occurred on 56% of trading days), also (to a lesser extent) auctions linked to the concession of credit lines to the export sector, USD sales with repurchase agreements ("leilões de linha") and currency swaps (to offer more hedging opportunities)
- Empirical Test: Compare the relationship between order-flow and exchange rate changes on days with and without intervention operations (controlling for other macroeconomic and financial news)
- Findings: the effect of dollar sales by traders on the BRL/USD is stronger on days in which the BCB did not intervene indicating that interventions dampened the price impact of a private trades.
Effects of Intervention: Chile

- Intervention Objective: 2001-2 to counter-act depreciation of domestic currency against USD; 2008-11 to accumulate reserves and to increase market liquidity

- Instruments Used: direct purchases of dollars, the issue of Central Bank bonds payable in US dollars (in the 2001-2 operations), and currency swaps


- Findings: positive evidence of granger-causality lasting around 6-months for one-year and two-year ahead inflation forecasts
Effects of Intervention: Colombia

- Intervention Objective: exchange rate stabilization, accumulation and sales of reserves, reduction in exchange rate volatility
- Instrument Used: both discretionary/secret operations and daily pre-announced operations (put/call options sold using a Dutch auction mechanism)
- Empirical Tests: first-stage CB reaction function and second-stage intervention impact equation (daily frequency); event-study non-parametric tests
- Findings: The impact of daily pre-announced operations has larger effects on the exchange rate than did the discretionary operations prior to 2008
Effects of Intervention: Mexico

- Intervention Objective: provide liquidity to the peso dollar market
- Instrument Used: minimum price auction of dollars (from Oct 2008 to April 2010, Nov 2011-present) three pre-announced times daily, lasting for 5 minutes each, maximum daily dollar amount also pre-announced and bids revealed at end of each auction.
- Empirical Test: do intra-day bid-ask spreads narrow after intervention auctions, taking into account macro controls and the intra-day seasonal (estimated using non-intervention days)?
- Findings: interventions (whose daily volume is around 20% of daily turnover in the peso dollar market) seem to have minimal influence on returns or volatility, though they are found to reduce the bid-ask spread, indicating that they are effective at increasing market liquidity.
Effects of Intervention: Peru

- Intervention Objective: reduce excessive volatility
- Instrument Used: purchases and sales of USD
- Empirical Test: structural VAR model of the interbank exchange rate and intervention purchases and sales; identifying assumption is that interventions have no long-run effects on the level of the exchange rate.
- Findings: interventions influence the intra-day interbank exchange rate, with larger impacts coming from dollar purchases
Possible research agenda looking ahead

● Role of market structure
  – Country-specific fx market structures might suggest different intervention approaches/instruments to enhance effectiveness.
  – For example, in Brazil some shocks may be transmitted through forward markets (eg hedging transactions, derivatives markets) and actions involving forward market/swap contracts (these are not strictly foreign exchange market operations but are similar to fx market intervention and could influence the exchange rate)

● Role of different auction procedures (Dutch vs. min price auctions)

● Role of objectives: reserve accumulation vs. exchange rate stabilization

● Role of operations in other markets (if other countries are also intervening does this enhance or dilute effectiveness?)

● Longer-term effects of operations (beyond the day)