



BANK FOR INTERNATIONAL SETTLEMENTS

“Risk in financial reporting: status, challenges and suggested directions”

by

Claudio Borio* and Kostas Tsatsaronis*
Research and Policy Analysis
Bank for International Settlements

**Presentation for the BIS Workshop on
“Accounting, risk management and prudential regulation”**

11-12 November 2005, Basel

* The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the BIS.



The issue

- Developments in risk measurement have far-reaching implications
 - reshaping financial activity
 - reshaping the prudential framework
 - have the potential to reshape financial reporting
- Risk measurement holds the key to convergence of perspectives on financial reporting



Background: previous work

- How to reconcile perspectives of accounting standard setters and prudential regulators?
- Three principles:
 - **decoupling** of objectives: unbiased portrayal vs prudence
 - **parallel**/coordinated process
 - **joint** development and implementation of richer information set
 - first-moment
 - risk
 - measurement error



Objective of the current paper

- Highlight the role of Risk Measurement & Management (RMM)
- Two issues:
 - Risk and meaning of “unbiased portrayal”
 - risk premia
 - Tension between RMM and the current approach to financial reporting
 - eg. hedging and “artificial volatility”



Bottom line

- In a world of costly and imperfect information, valuations are not uniquely and objectively defined
 - general equilibrium effects are important
 - definition of “unbiased” is conditional on measurement system (valuation principles; eg FVA vs. historical cost)
- Risk measurement plays a key role in measuring value
 - forward-looking elements and risk attitudes
- Measures of valuation should be verifiable
 - one criterion for choice of valuation principles



Bottom line (cont'd)

- Measures of valuation should be transparent
 - basis for verification
 - basis for adjustments
 - There is scope to narrow the wedge between accounting valuations and underlying economic values
 - sound risk management is a useful guide
- ⇒ why a bridge?
- core component of enhanced information set
 - core role in measuring, verifying and validating valuations
 - potential focal point in the design of accounting standards



Outline

- I. The ideal information set
 - how far are we?

- II. Meaning of unbiased valuations
 - role of verifiability and transparency

- III. Gap between accounting and underlying economic valuations
 - - eg, artificial volatility



I - The ideal information set: a taxonomy

- Point estimates (“first-moment information”) of value, income, cash flows
 - income, cash flow and balance-sheet statements
- Estimates of the risk profile (“risk information”)
 - potential future variation in first-moment information
 - Eg, VaR
- Estimates of uncertainty surrounding first-moment and risk information (“measurement error”)
 - due to “model error” or intentional misreporting (“reporting bias error”)
 - eg: sensitivity analysis
 - comparison of outcomes with original estimates



I - The ideal information set for financial reporting

Financial characteristic	Illustrations	Availability
First-moment	<i>Point estimates of profitability, balance sheet valuations and cash flows</i>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- income statement- balance-sheet statement- cash flow statement	Very high
Risk	<i>Point estimates of measures of statistical variation in first-moment information</i>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- earnings-at-risk and value-at-risk- portfolio stress tests	Medium
Measurement error	<i>Measures of uncertainty surrounding first-moment and risk information</i>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- sensitivity analysis to parameter values- comparison of outcomes with previous estimates	Low



I - The ideal information set: future directions

- Actual set falls short of ideal, despite recent welcome steps
- Desirable and feasible to make further progress
 - improvements in risk measurement technology
 - proprietary concerns overdone
 - risk and measurement error information are key to calculating and reporting first-moment information
 - calculating unbiased valuations and verifiability



II - What is meant by “unbiased valuations”?

- Away from archetype of complete markets, valuations are not unique
 - In a world of costly and imperfect information valuations depend on agents involved in transactions
- Only world in which financial reporting has a role to play...
- ...but also one where market prices can fail to provide right signals (reflect “fundamentals”)...
- ...and the act of measurement affects valuations (“Heisenberg uncertainty principle”)
 - significant general equilibrium effects

⇒ unbiasedness is a relative notion



II - Unbiasedness: an operational definition?

- Need to abstract from general equilibrium effects
 - condition on chosen valuation principle
- Three desirable characteristics:
 - approximate realisable value (cash)
 - sufficiently verifiable
 - permit assessment of measurement error
 - transparent
 - permit verifiability and adjustments by users



II - Measuring unbiased valuations

- Market prices for deep and liquid markets
 - but they are not very transparent!
- Measurement error is otherwise a key consideration
 - top-down approaches: direct mappings
 - bottom-up: discounted present values (workhorse of finance and risk measurement)
 - risk premia are key
- We do not take a stand on which valuation principles are best
 - will depend on circumstances
- Measures should be **sufficiently verifiable**
 - validation: mixture of inputs, processes and outputs
 - “use-test” to limit incentive to misreport



III - Narrowing the gap: sources and implications

- Three sources of mismatch between accounting and underlying economic valuations:
 - definition of assets/liabilities
 - eg: “control”
 - their recognition
 - eg: internally generated intangibles
 - their measurement
 - eg: mixed-attribute accounting
- Why?
 - verification issues
 - binary nature of accounting
- Concern?
 - accounting signals may distort behaviour
 - eg hedging decisions



III - Narrowing the gap: demand deposits

	Accounting	Risk management
unit of analysis	individual basis	portfolio basis
future changes in balance	excluded	included (statistical basis)
maturity	very short	long (behavioural)
impact of a rise in market rates on valuations ¹	zero (face value)	fall (profit)

¹ Assuming that deposit rates are “sticky” relative to market rates.



Conclusions

- Accounting has a significant influence on behaviour
 - should be its purpose in the first place!
- There is a need to narrow the gap between accounting and underlying economic “realities”
 - progress made but more needed
- RMM holds important keys to further progress
 - core component of enhanced information set
 - core to measuring, verifying and validating valuations
 - potential focal point in the design of standards