

Research session Data in digital markets and money

Thursday 7 October 15:00 CEST (UTC +2)



#BISResearchConference #RegulatingBigTech



"Harms of AI"

Daron Acemoglu

Institute Professor Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Edwards S Sanford Professor of Economics and Director of the Bendheim Center for Finance, Princeton University

Chair: Jean-Charles Rochet Full Professor, Geneva Finance Research Institute

"The Digitalization of Money"

Markus Brunnermeier



Platforms and Tokens

Markus Brunnermeier

Princeton University

Bank for International Settlements (BIS)

7. October 2021



Tech Trends: Platforms and Money



- Internet of things: payments from machine to machine
- Micropayments

New Developments

- Digital platforms
- Digital tokens



matching technology payment technology

Consequences 1. "Inverse Selection" 2. "Lock-in Effects"

with Rohit Lamba and Carlos Segura-Rodriguez with Jonathan Payne



1. Inversion of "Information Advantage"

- Information advantage for customer
 - Borrower
 - Insurance client, ...

soon, for seller/platform

- Lender (platform) "will know more about me
- Insurance company
- Asset managers, ...
- Customer has multiple attributes and knows most of them, but only platform can better connect/statistically infer them Informed principal problem
 - STATISTICAL INFORMATION
 - Correlation between attributes
 - Traditional example:
 - I like a red car
 - Insurance companies knows (from big data) that drivers of red cars are more accident prone

than I know about myself" Privacy regulation

1. From Adverse Selection to Inverse Selection

First generation

- Asymmetric information matters for markets
- Markets can unravel, so role for market design
- Coverage is increasing in riskiness (Counterfactual!)
- Second generation advantageous selection
 - Asymmetric information is multidimensional
 - Low-risk types buy lots of insurance due to their high risk aversion
 - Heterogeneity in risk aversion

Third generation (?)

- Big data changes the notion of asymmetric information
- "who knows what" needs to be updated
- Once insurer/platform knows some basic information about you, statistical inference allows it to know more about risks

Rothschild Stiglitz

Finkelstein Einav, Fang

- Interaction btw.
 - Network effects/externalities and
 - Lock-in effect of private tokens (money)
 - Ability to lock in "future purchases" to a platform



- Token issuing private platform can extract rents (fees, inflation seigniorage) up to a threshold (after which competing platform will enter).
- Should regulation limit these lock-in effects? If so, how (much)?

- Interaction btw.
 - Network effects/externalities and
 - Lock-in effect of private tokens (money)
 - Ability to lock in "future purchases" to a platform



- Interaction btw.
 - Network effects/externalities and
 - Lock-in effect of private tokens (money)
 - Ability to lock in "future purchases" to a platform



with Jonathan Payne

- Interaction btw.
 - Network effects/externalities and
 - Lock-in effect of private tokens (money)
 - Ability to lock in "future purchases" to a platform
- Even though one can choose the platform and the token, incentive to "sell" one's services in exchange to a particular private token since others do so too in the future, when one wants to "buy" a service.
 - "as if" one is born in a "digital currency area"
- Token issuing private platform can extract rents (fees, inflation seigniorage) up to a threshold (after which competing platform will enter).
- Should regulation limit these lock-in effects? If so, how (much)?

9

- Should regulation limit these lock-in effects? If so, how (much)?
- How? 2 Ways to implement regulation
 - 1. Regulate competition among private platforms Enforce "Currency interoperability" all tokens have to be useable on all platforms and exchangeable without a fee
 - 2. Compete with private platform CBDC as "Digital Legal Tender" private platforms are obliged to accept CBDC
 - Without charging a fee
 - Without granting a discount for private tokens

- Should regulation limit these lock-in effects?
- Yes, interoperability regulation
 - Lowers "entry hurdle" for new platforms/token issuers
 - Restores efficiency (fully)
 - In dynamic setting: competition leads to more innovations (in payments)
- No, interoperability hurts since lock-in effect is desirable
 - Setting 1: trade on a network (buyer and sellers meet directly)
 - Interoperability allows agents to switch to competing token/platform
 - Current platform cannot enforce repayment of credit (via exclusion) \Rightarrow less credit (less "digital collateral")
 - Setting 2: trade is intermediated by platform (like Amazon market place)
 - Exclusion from platform might be sufficient to enforce credit repayment



Token differentiation – Uniformity of Money

- Token differentiation
 - Privacy focused token
 - "programmable tokens"
- Segments markets introduces "information sensitivity" hurts uniformity of money



Conclusion: Regulating BigTech Platforms

- Platforms
- Token issuers
- Inverse selection (instead of adverse selection)
 - Platform has information advantage (not consumer surplus)
- Lock-in effects (i) platform and (ii) token
 - Incumbent platform can extract rents at expense of participants + less innovation
 - Platform can extent credit
- How to regulate?
 - Regulate competition among private platforms/token issuer
 - Compete with private platforms/token issuer
 - Extra: Should platforms and payment platforms be allowed to merge?
- Uniformity of money

interoperability outlaw exchange fees CBDC as "digital legal tender"