



Universität Heidelberg

Uni Heidelberg – Dr. Uwe Wehrspohn – AWI – Grabengasse 14 – D-69117Heidelberg

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision
c/o Bank for International Settlement
Centralbahnplatz 2

CH-4002 Basel
Switzerland

Dr. Uwe Wehrspohn
Alfred Weber Institut
Grabengasse 14
D-69117 Heidelberg

Tel. +49 6221 54 3172
Mobile +49 173 66 18 784
Fax +49 6221 54 3578

Uwe.Wehrspohn@urz.uni-heidelberg.de

July 23, 2003

Note on the Third Consultative Paper

Simplification of the Correlation Formulas in §§ 241f., 252, 299, 301

In its Third Consultative Paper (CP 3) the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision has considerably revised the risk-weight formulas intended to be used for regulatory capital allocation for corporate, sovereign, bank, and retail exposures.

While it can be shown that the proposed risk weight-formulas represent correct calculations of portfolio value at risk in a mainstream credit risk model (cf. Wehrspohn, 2003, Analytic loss distributions of heterogeneous portfolios, http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=395360) the interpolated correlation functions suggested in CP 3 can still be considerably simplified without loss of precision.

The correlation function proposed in § 241 CP 3 is

$$R(PD) = 0.12 \cdot (1 - \text{EXP}(-50 \cdot PD)) / (1 - \text{EXP}(-50)) + 0.24 \cdot [1 - (1 - \text{EXP}(-50 \cdot PD)) / (1 - \text{EXP}(-50))].$$

Note, however, that $\text{EXP}(-50) < 10^{-21}$. Moreover, considering that the present correlation function is already an approximation of an empirical relationship between firms' default probabilities and their mean asset value correlations, it can be assumed without loss of accuracy that

$$1 - \text{EXP}(-50) \approx 1$$

implying that the correlation function simplifies to

$$R(PD) = 0.12 \cdot (1 + \text{EXP}(-50 \cdot PD)).$$

Similarly, the other correlation formulas can be shortened as follows :

$$\text{\S 242:} \quad R(\text{PD}) = 0.12 + 0.12 \cdot \text{EXP}(-50 \cdot \text{PD}) - 0.04 \cdot (1 - (S - 5) / 45)$$

$$\text{\S 252:} \quad R(\text{PD}) = 0.12 + 0.18 \cdot \text{EXP}(-50 \cdot \text{PD})$$

$$\text{\S 299:} \quad R(\text{PD}) = 0.02 + 0.09 \cdot \text{EXP}(-50 \cdot \text{PD})$$

$$\text{\S 301:} \quad R(\text{PD}) = 0.02 + 0.17 \cdot \text{EXP}(-35 \cdot \text{PD})$$

with $\text{EXP}(-35) < 10^{-14}$.

Finally note that the difference between the capital requirements resulting with the original correlation formulas in CP 3 and their simplified versions is below the numerical precision of Microsoft Excel.