Discussion on "Systemic Risk: What Defaults Are Telling Us" by Kay Giesecke and Baeho Kim

Mike So The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

Main ideas of the paper

Systemic risk calculated based on credit data

Two-step approaches: economy-wide & system-wide considerations

The intensity of the point process

 $\lambda_t^* =$ baseline hazard + spillover effect

Novel use of the default volume as a proxy of the defaulter's firm size in estimating λ_t^*

Discussion 1 (time-lag effect)

The paper uses the VaR of the default rate $D_t(T)$ to quantify systemic risk.

• Can $D_t(T)$ help generate warning signals of the systemic risk?

Default Counts and Volumes



Discussion 1 (time-lag effect)

The paper uses the VaR of the default rate $D_t(T)$ to quantify the systemic risk.

• Can $D_t(T)$ help generate warning signals of the systemic risk?

• Prior default volume is low $\Rightarrow \lambda_t^*$ is small in 2008

Discussion 2 (use of DV)

The default volume (DV) may reflect the impact of the defaults on financial markets.

Can we use the distribution of the DV to quantify systemic risk?

If so, it seems that the time series structure of the DV can be helpful.

Discussion 3 (other credit variables)

Would credit information other than the default incidence be relevant?

Recovery rates

Upgrade-to-downgrade ratios

Default & Recovery Rates

The higher the DR, the lower is the recovery.

Correlation between Default and Recovery Rates



Source: Moody's global credit policy

Upgrade-to-downgrade ratios



Discussion 4 (other directions)

What if the crisis is not originated from the credit incidence?

What can we tell from the market data?

Is there any warning signal in 2007?

Tail Dependence Coefficient (extreme co-movement)







Future Research: Combining Credit and Market Variables To Measure Systemic Risk

Thank you!