

# Discussion of "Credit and Macroprudential Policy in an Emerging Economy: a Structural Model Assessment" by Horacio A. Aguirre and Emilio F. Blanco

José Dorich



The views expressed herein are those of the author. No responsibility for them should be attributed to the Bank of Canada.

www.bank-banque-canada.ca



# What they do

- Develop and estimate macroeconomic models of the Argentinean economy with the following key ingredients:
  - Credit market
  - Sterilized intervention in the foreign exchange market
  - Capital requirements
- Evaluate the benefits of the interaction of monetary policy, foreign exchange intervention and capital requirements during the period 2003-2011.



# Some key findings

- Shocks to lending rates weigh on macroeconomic variables, principally through effects on output growth.
- The specification of the capital adequacy ratio plays a very important role in estimating the sensitivity of output growth to spreads in the aggregate demand equation.
- Interest rates faced by households and firms depend negatively on output growth and positively on delinquency rates.
- Significant deviations from the standard UIP condition are needed to explain the data.
- Inflation is mainly determined by backward-looking behavior.



#### Outline

- 1. The model
- 2. The importance of different ingredients in the model
- 3. The evaluation of the interaction of different policies
- 4. Conclusion



## The model: aggregate demand

- Try more disaggregation of aggregate demand block
  - Consumption: it can be linked with households spread
  - Investment: it can be related with firms spread
  - Government spending: simple rule
  - Exports: foreign demand and real exchange rate
  - Imports: domestic demand and real exchange rate
- This disaggregation would allow to:
  - Improve the identification of effects of risk-free interest rate, exchange rate and spreads.
  - Have a better characterization of credit to different agents.
  - Consider shocks to foreign demand in the analysis.



# The model: modified UIP

It can be written as:

$$e_{t} = \frac{-i_{t} + i_{t}^{*}}{2\omega_{1} - 1} + \frac{\omega_{1}e_{t+1}}{2\omega_{1} - 1} + \frac{(\omega_{1} - 1)e_{t-1}}{2\omega_{1} - 1} + others$$

- Estimates of  $\omega 1$  are between 5.6 and 6.2 whereas standard UIP requires  $\omega 1=1$ .
- Others is a function of net international reserves. In contrast, in standard open economy models, it is a function of total NFA (including private sector NFA).
- To characterize realistically the evolution of NFA, we need to model exports and imports.



#### The model: other equations

- Allow interest rate faced by firms to appear in aggregate supply and see if the cost of working capital play a role to explain inflation.
- Try setting prior for B3 =1 in equations below

$$\widehat{i}_t^{H,act} = B_1 \widehat{Delinq}_t^H - B_2 \widehat{g}_{t-1}^y + B_3 \widehat{i}_t + \varepsilon_t^{Hact}$$

$$\widehat{i}_t^{F,act} = B_1 \widehat{Delinq}_t^F - B_2 \widehat{g}_{t-1}^y + B_3 \widehat{i}_t + \varepsilon_t^{Fact}$$



## The importance of different ingredients

- Three key ingredients:
  - Credit markets and their influence on the economy

$$g_t^y = \beta_1 \mathbb{E}_t g_{t+1}^y + \beta_2 g_{t-1}^y - \beta_3 \widehat{r}_t + \beta_4 \Delta \widehat{e}_t^{tri} - \beta_5 \widehat{sf}_t - \beta_6 \left(spread_{t-1}\right) + \varepsilon_t^y$$

- The size of  $\beta_6$  is crucial.
- Sterilized intervention in the foreign exchange market

$$\widehat{i}_t = \widehat{i}_t^* + \omega_1 \mathbb{E}_t \widehat{\delta}_{t+1} + (1 - \omega_1) \widehat{\delta}_t + \omega_2 \widehat{b}_t + \omega_3 \widehat{res}_t + \widehat{\lambda}_t$$

• The size of  $\omega 2$  and  $\omega 3$  are important.



# The importance of different ingredients

- Last key ingredient:
  - Capital adequacy ratio (CAR): its role in the transmission of shocks depend on the value of B4 in the equations below

$$\begin{split} \widehat{i}_{t}^{act,H} &= B_{1}^{H} \widehat{Delinq}_{t}^{H} - B_{2}^{H} \widehat{g}_{t-1}^{y} + B_{3}^{H} \widehat{i}_{t} + B_{4} \widehat{CAR}_{t} + \varepsilon_{t}^{Hact} \\ \widehat{i}_{t}^{act,F} &= B_{1}^{F} \widehat{Delinq}_{t}^{F} - B_{2}^{F} \widehat{g}_{t-1}^{y} + B_{3}^{F} \widehat{i}_{t} + B_{4} \widehat{CAR}_{t} + \varepsilon_{t}^{Fact} \end{split}$$

- CAR rule plays a very important role in estimating the sensitivity of output growth to spreads in the aggregate demand equation.
  - The size of  $\beta_6$  without CAR rule is 0.12 whereas the one with a CAR rule that reacts to aggregate credit spread is 0.37.



## The evaluation of the interaction of different policies

- Their method does not hold constant parameter values associated with private sector behavior when evaluating the policies. They think that the estimated coefficients reflect different behavior due to different policy.
- Different parameter values do not reflect different behavior. Instead, they reflect different estimates due to different estimation strategies.
- Alternative approach is needed to evaluate the benefits of the interaction of policies.



# Conclusion

- Very interesting paper. Proposes different macroeconomic models to explain the transmission of different shocks in Argentina taking into account interaction of different policies.
- Assessment of the importance of each ingredient of the model would be useful.
- The aggregate demand and modified UIP can be improved.
- Evaluation of benefits of interaction of policies requires a different approach.



